

7 ACTION PLAN

Document title Poole and Christchurch Bays Shoreline

Management Plan Review Sub-cell 5f

Hurst Spit to Durlston Head

Document short title Section 7

Status Report V3

Date 2011

Project name Poole and Christchurch Bays SMP2

Project number 9T2052

Client Bournemouth Borough Council

Reference 9T2052

Poole & Christchurch www.twobays.net Bays Coastal Group



This section includes the summary action plan for the Poole and Christchurch Bays SMP.

This action plan is a very important element of the SMP, and particularly for the Poole and Christchurch Bays SMP. The plan has identified that there are a number of important uncertainties and that we need to improve our understanding to support firmer policy decisions in the next SMP and beyond.

As indicated in Section 1 the intent is to continue the partnership approach through which we have developed this SMP, at the level of elected members, officers and stakeholders, to be linked with the existing coastal group. By organising regular progress meetings, this ongoing partnership can actively monitor and drive the progress of the action plan. This will enable an ongoing process of shoreline management in the coming years, in the run-up to the next SMP in five to ten years time.

The action plan summarises all the specific actions that are needed to implement the plan and the policies. This includes actions by the Environment Agency and local authorities to develop flood and erosion risk management strategies and schemes. It also includes actions for the other partner authorities, for example to incorporate the plan into the land use planning system or support adaptation of affected people, businesses and organisations. A specific element for the Poole and Christchurch SMP action plan concerns the monitoring and studies needed to confirm and support firmer decisions in the next SMP about the potential intended for managed realignments for the medium and long term.

As indicated in Section1, implementing SMP policies will depend on funding being available. This is not only the case for building and maintaining flood defences, but also for all the other actions needed to implement the plan. This funding may be available from the national flood and coastal erosion risk management budget, but it could also come from other national sources or from local and/or third-party funding.





SMP wide Actions

Action Reference	Works Required	Responsibility	Priority	Target Start Date	Actual Start Date	Completion Date	Action Status (N/O/C) ¹
0.1	Implementation of SMP policies and actions through continuation of CSG and EMF	Environment Agency with all partners	High	2010 - 2015			0
0.2	Continue consultation with key stakeholders and general public in the period up to SMP3; (progress the action plan; convey messages around flood and erosion risk, potential coastal change and maintain the stakeholder engagement list).	Environment Agency with all partners	High	2010 - 2015			0
0.3	Ensure that local and regional development and planning documents take account of SMP policies and flood and erosion risks	Planning authorities and Environment Agency	High	2010 - 2015			0
0.4	Development, monitoring and review of emergency response plans to prepare for extreme events that exceed standard.	Local Resilience Forums	High	2010 - 2015			0
0.5	Continue with improvements to flood risk maps and inundation modelling to provide improved flood warning service.	Environment Agency	High	2010 - 2015			0

 $^{^{1}}$ N = not yet commenced, O = ongoing, C = complete.



Action Reference	Works Required	Responsibility	Priority	Target Start Date	Actual Start Date	Completion Date	Action Status (N/O/C) ¹
0.6	Poole & Christchurch Bays SMP3. There may be a case for promoting an integrated Flood Risk Management plan (coastal and fluvial), combining the SMP with the CFMPs.	Environment Agency with all partners	High	2015 - 2020			N
0.7	Upgrade/update of Rapid Coastal Zone Assessment Survey (RCZSA)	English Heritage	Medium	To inform SMP3			N
0.8	Continuation of Strategic Regional Shoreline Monitoring Programme.	New Forest District Council	High	2010			0
0.9	Continued management of defences.	Environment Agency, Local Authority	High	2010			N
0.10	Further investigations to identify potential sites to recreate compensatory intertidal habitat and obstacles that may need to be overcome.	Natural England, Environment Agency, Local Authority	High	ASAP to inform Strategy			Z
0.11	Further investigation into compensatory requirements needed as a result of managed realignment option resulting in an adverse effect on terrestrial SPA and SACs e.g. heathland and grassland.	Natural England, Environment Agency, Local Authority	High	ASAP to inform Strategy			N





Action Reference	Works Required	Responsibility	Priority	Target Start Date	Actual Start Date	Completion Date	Action Status (N/O/C) ¹
0.12	A review of all HER data to ensure that it has correctly captured the important issues for consideration during scheme development. During the development of the SMP2 it became apparent that the detail was not always available and therefore it was difficult to assess impacts. Additional information for the Strategy will be required, especially for Managed Realignment schemes and areas that will have No Active Intervention.	English Heritage, Environment Agency, Local Authority	Medium	ASAP to inform Strategy			N
0.13	Regionwide sediment transport studies to fill gaps and uncertainties identified in SMP2, (in particular Poole Bay and Hurst Spit) in partnership with SMPs for North Solent and Isle of Wight	NFDC on behalf of Southern Coastal Group members	High	2012			Z
0.14	Review of the boundaries between the SMP and CFMP. At the end of the SMP it was identified that there are small gaps within GIS layers but the polices link up well.	Environment Agency	Medium	2010			0



Action Reference	Works Required	Responsibility	Priority	Target Start Date	Actual Start Date	Completion Date	Action Status (N/O/C) ¹
0.15	Investigate the requirement of joint funding in certain areas with a view to gain additional funding in line with the SMP recommendations	Southern Coastal Group members	High	2010			N
0.16	Regionwide wave climate risk studies to identify impacts of bimodal wave period conditions on design risk of all structures and beach systems; to include assessment of overtopping and breach potential. Based on uncertainties identified in SMP2 and SCOPAC studies, in partnership with SMPs for North Solent and Isle of Wight.	NFDC on behalf of Southern Coastal Group members	High	2011			N
0.17	Regionwide improvements to historical coastal evolution studies to identify impacts of coastal change based on aerial surveys Based on uncertainties identified in SMP2 (notably western part of SMP cell) and, in partnership with SMPs for North Solent and Isle of Wight.	NFDC on behalf of Southern Coastal Group members	Medium	2014			N



Action Reference	Works Required	Responsibility	Priority	Target Start Date	Actual Start Date	Completion Date	Action Status (N/O/C) ¹
0.18	Review the distinction and links between landslip and erosion. May need to reconsider proposals for slope stabilisation where toe erosion is the trigger once the guidance is adopted nationally.	Environment Agency	High	Inform Strategies			N
0.19	Comprehensive monitoring programme for cliff top erosion	Southern Coastal Group members	High to Medium (some areas more urgent then others)	2010			N/O
0.20	Ensure that local and regional development and planning documents take account of SMP policies and flood and erosion risks. Link to Local Authorities LDF Planning Policy which will set out the Council's approach to Coastal Change Management Areas (CCMAs).	Operating Authorities	High	2010			Ο



Action Reference	Works Required	Responsibility	Priority	Target Start Date	Actual Start Date	Completion Date	Action Status (N/O/C) ²
Actions Req	uired for Short Term Policy						
1.1	Continued management of defences. Production of System Asset Management Plans to deliver HTL policy for Epoch 1. Maintenance and refurbishment as required.	Environment Agency and New Forest District Council	High	2010			N
1.2	Production of strategy study to deliver MR policy for Epoch 1 along Barton- on-Sea frontage.	New Forest District Council	High	2010			N
1.3	Develop the Christchurch Bay Strategy	New Forest District Council	Medium	2011			N
Studies / str	ategies Required to Inform I	ntended Medium-Tern	n Management Polici	ies			
1.4	Strategy study into realignment for Epochs 2 / 3, including technical (defence sustainability), economic, social and environmental aspects at both Milford-on-Sea and Barton-on-Sea.	New Forest District Council	Medium	To inform SMP3			N
1.5	Integrated flood risk management strategy (coastal and fluvial). This may be replaced by an integrated SMP / CFMP level Flood Risk Management Plan.	Environment Agency	Medium	2012			N

 $^{^{2}}$ N = not yet commenced, O = ongoing, C = complete.



Action Reference	Works Required	Responsibility	Priority	Target Start Date	Actual Start Date	Completion Date	Action Status (N/O/C) ²
1.6	Policy study to determine role and management of secondary defences. This will require joint working, involving the Environment Agency and Local Authority planners. The aim will be to determine their impact and desired role, to inform flood risk management and land use planning.	Environment Agency/Local Authorities	Medium	2012			N
1.7	Study to reduce uncertainty of predictions of offshore bank development. This will be informed by monitoring.	Environment Agency	High	To inform SMP3			N
	_	Monitori	ng Required to Fulfil	Medium-Term Policy	Studies		
1.8	Continue / increase monitoring of saltmarsh and mudflat areas. This needs to inform understanding of the intertidal areas' flood defence function, the sustainability of the earth embankments, and its habitat function. To be integrated with Regional Habitat Creation Programme.	Environment Agency, Natural England	High	Start ASAP			N
1.9	Monitoring to ensure that the intertidal development trends are consistent with assumptions made in the SMP. This will be aided by the ongoing monitoring.	Environment Agency, Local Authority	High	Start asap			N



PDZ2 Christchurch Harbour and Central Poole Bay

Action Reference	Works Required	Responsibility	Priority	Target Start Date	Actual Start Date	Completion Date	Action Status (N/O/C) ³
Actions Req	uired for Short Term Policy						,
2.1	Consider the replacement of the Bournemouth timber groyne field	Bournemouth Borough Council	High	2010			0
2.2	Consider the start of BIS5, to follow on from BIS4	Bournemouth Borough Council	High	2010			N
2.3	Develop collaborative approach to achieve HTL policy for Epochs 1 & 2, leading to ATL in Epoch 3 along the Poole Bay frontage. This will be captured in the Strategy Study currently commissioned by the Environment Agency.	Bournemouth Borough Council, Borough of Poole, Environment Agency.	High	2010	January 2010		
2.4	Develop the approach to managed realignment at Solent Beach & Double Dykes. This will need to focus on changing the pressures of the coastline in this area and consider the SSSI and SAC/SPA. This will be captured in the Strategy Study currently commissioned by the Environment Agency	Bournemouth Borough Council, Environment Agency, Natural England, English Heritage	High	2010	January 2010		
2.5	Investigate design options for the refurbishment of the Hengistbury Head Long Groyne and consider the	Bournemouth Borough Council, Environment Agency, Natural	High	2010	January 2010		N

_

 $^{^{3}}$ N = not yet commenced, O = ongoing, C = complete.



Action Reference	Works Required	Responsibility	Priority	Target Start Date	Actual Start Date	Completion Date	Action Status (N/O/C) ³
	impact on Christchurch Harbour SSSI. This is will be captured in the Strategy Study currently commissioned by the Environment Agency	England					
2.6	Commission study to look at the joint tidal and fluvial risk in Christchurch and how that is managed to deliver the HTL policy to the town centre and supporting communities. This will need to consider the impacts on Christchurch Harbour SSSI	Christchurch Borough Council, Environment Agency, Natural England	High	2010			Z
2.7	Commission a study to look at the historic landfill site at Wick	Bournemouth Borough Council					N
		tudies / strategies Re	equired to Inform Inte	ended Medium-Term	Management Policies	3	
2.8	Continue the collaborative approach to develop a strategy for the management of the defences in Epochs 2 and 3. The plan, and the timing, location and extent of any changes, will need to achieve the best balance between all the socioeconomic and environmental constraints and opportunities. There may be a need for an independent study to investigate ATL options	Bournemouth Borough Council, Borough of Poole, Environment Agency, Natural England	High	Start asap	January 2010		



Action Reference	Works Required	Responsibility	Priority	Target Start Date	Actual Start Date	Completion Date	Action Status (N/O/C) ³
	(including impacts on sediment movement) for Epoch 3 along Poole Bay.						
2.9	Incorporate any changes in land use planning.	Local Authority	High	Start asap			N
		Monitorin	ng Required to Fulfil	Medium-Term Policy	Studies		
2.10	Monitor the beach re- nourishment programme to inform environmental studies and public concerns	Bournemouth Borough Council	High	2010			0
2.11	Investigate future beach material sources and PSD	Bournemouth Borough Council	Medium	2010			N
2.12	Monitoring to ensure that the processes at Mudeford Spit are consistent with assumptions made in the SMP2. This will be aided by ongoing monitoring	Environment Agency with collaboration with Natural England and Local Authority	High	Start asap			Ν
2.13	Contamination monitoring programme at Stanpit Marshes and Mudeford Quay.	Environment Agency with collaboration with Natural England and Christchurch Borough Council	2010	To inform SMP3			N
2.14	Continue with monitoring programme at Boscombe surf reef (20 year life).	Bournemouth Borough Council	2010	Start ASAP			0
2.15	Contamination monitoring programme at Wick.	Local Authority, Environment Agency	Medium	To inform SMP3			N



PDZ3 Poole Harbour and Associated Coastline

Action Reference	Works Required	Responsibility	Priority	Target Start Date	Actual Start Date	Completion Date	Action Status (N/O/C) ⁴			
Actions Required for Short Term Policy										
3.1	Develop the managed realignment of the tide banks around Wareham and potential impacts. Develop the tidal /fluvial aspects on the River Frome and the flood risk to Stoborough. Develop the tidal /fluvial aspects on the River Sherford and the flood risk to Lytchett Minster and Upton. This will be captured in the Strategy Study currently commissioned by the Environment Agency.	Environment Agency with collaboration with Natural England and Local Authority	High	ASAP - to inform Strategy Study	January 2010					
3.2	Liaison with private landowners at locations where the frontline sea bank is private to enable the defence to be maintained in Epoch 1	Environment Agency and private landowners	High	2010	January 2010					
3.3	Management intent to be agreed at Brownsea Island, including Brownsea Lagoon and the historic infrastructure	Local Authority, National Trust, Natural England, English Heritage, Environment Agency	High	2010	January 2010					

⁴ N = not yet commenced, O = ongoing, C = complete.



Action Reference	Works Required	Responsibility	Priority	Target Start Date	Actual Start Date	Completion Date	Action Status (N/O/C) ⁴
3.4	Management intent at Studland to be agreed. This must consider National Trust proposal to relocate services.	National Trust, Natural England, Purbeck District Council	High	2010			N
	S	Studies / strategies Re	equired to Inform Into	ended Medium-Term	Management Policies	S	
3.5	Study to reduce uncertainty with respect to the predictions of saltmarsh/mudflat development and to enable predictions of saltmarsh and mudflat loss/gain to be more accurate. This will need to tie into the Habitat Creation Programme and work with the North Solent. This will be informed by monitoring.	Environment Agency, Natural England, other partners	High	ASAP - to inform Strategy Study			N
3.6	Commission study to look at the joint tidal and fluvial risk in Poole Harbour and how that is managed to deliver the HTL policy to the town centre and supporting communities. This study also needs to investigate the impact with rainfall and the effects on the surface sewer network.	Borough of Poole	High	ASAP - to inform Strategy Study			N
3.7	Assess the use of maintenance dredging from the harbour for beach replenishment and the implications on designated sites within Poole Harbour.	Local Authority, Poole Harbour Commissioners, Environment Agency, Natural England	High	ASAP - To inform Strategy Study			N

Action Reference	Works Required	Responsibility	Priority	Target Start Date	Actual Start Date	Completion Date	Action Status (N/O/C) ⁴
3.8	Study to look at growing salt marsh and reed beds within Whitley Lake as a possible solution for wave overtopping (and habitat creation)	Environment Agency, Local Authority, Natural England	Medium	To inform SMP3			N
3.9	Assessment of the benefit of reed bed creation within Poole Park lake as compensation for losses within Poole Harbour (previous work has been done for water quality).	Environment Agency, Local Authority, Natural England	Medium	To inform SMP3			N
3.10	Explore options to manage coastal change at Studland to maintain visitor infrastructure, access and enjoyment in an area that is subject to multiple designation and in a way that does not have significant impact on the special qualities (in the case of AONB) or features for which the site is designated (SSSI/SAC).	National Trust, Natural England, Purbeck District Council	Medium	To inform SMP3			N
3.11	Development of an exit strategy for Brownsea Island Quay and Lagoon defences.	National Trust, Local Authority, Environment Agency, Natural England, English Heritage	Medium	To inform SMP3			N
Monitoring Required to Fulfil Medium-Term Policy Studies							
3.11	Monitoring of the Poole Frontage study to identify the efficiency of computer	Local Authority, Environment Agency	Medium	To inform SMP3			N



Action Reference	Works Required	Responsibility	Priority	Target Start Date	Actual Start Date	Completion Date	Action Status (N/O/C) ⁴
	based predictions against actual events.						
3.12	SMP2 suggests a gradual change to a natural response of the shoreline at Ham Common/Rockley, because the current defence at Rockley does not have a major impact on processes. Investigate how defences will be allowed to fail at Ham Common during the Strategy Study to ensure that defence along the western stretch of the unit does not result in more rapid erosion of Ham common.	Local Authority, Natural England Environment Agency	Medium	2010 to inform Strategy Study			N
3.13	Undertake monitoring programme at Holton Heath for potential contamination which may be at risk in future epochs.	Local Authority, Environment Agency	Medium	To inform SMP3			N



PDZ4 Swanage

Action Reference	Works Required	Responsibility	Priority	Target Start Date	Actual Start Date	Completion Date	Action Status (N/O/C) ⁵
Actions Req	uired for Short Term Policy						
4.1	Action on community coastal adaptation at Durlston Cliffs	Purbeck District Council, Environment Agency	High	2010			N
	S	tudies / strategies Re	equired to Inform Inte	ended Medium-Term	Management Policies	8	
4.2	Communication strategy for coastal adaptation at North Beach, Swanage	Purbeck District Council, Environment Agency	Medium	To inform SMP3			N
4.3	Implement actions from the Peveril Point Study, including the removal of the old lookout and the failed defence associated with it.	Purbeck District Council, Environment Agency	Medium				N
		Monitori	ng Required to Fulfil	Medium-Term Policy	Studies		
4.4	Continued long-term background monitoring of erosion of the cliffs	Purbeck District Council	High	Continued			N

_

⁵ N = not yet commenced, O = ongoing, C = complete.